



~~TOP SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEADQUARTERS
AIR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
Andrews Air Force Base
Washington 25, D. C.

ADDRESS ONLY TO
COMMANDERS AADC, ATTN

RDZGm

22 July 1959

SUBJECT: Satellite Programs

TO: GENERAL SCHRIEVER

Attached is information on Satellite Programs which you requested from AFMD. The information was prepared by Major Weinberg, ADEA.



1 Attachment
Problem Paper

Lt Colonel, USAF
Asst Chief, AFMD Office
Asst DC/WS, Missiles &
Military Space Systems

IF INFORMATION ARE WITHDRAWN (OR NOT ATTACHED) THE
CLASSIFICATION OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL BE CAN-
CELED. THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCLASSIFIED.

*Gen. S -
note inside*



~~TOP SECRET~~

SECRET

PROBLEM PAPER

I. SENTRY S&D:

- A. Problem - ARPA instructions, TWI 24 June, directed the deferment of the recoverable capsule portion of the SENTRY System because of funds limitations due to the demands of other ARPA programs. It was also advised that the SENTRY program be planned for a total of 135 million FY 60 ARPA dollars instead of the 160 million total established by ARPA in January.
- B. Factors Bearing on the Problem -
1. Hq USAF (General Walsh, ACS/1) forwarded specific intelligence requirements for SENTRY to Mr. Johnson, ARPA, in November and December 1958. These were subsequently forwarded to AFPMO by ARPA as the official requirements of the system.
 2. The December/January ARPA reappraisal and reorientation of the SENTRY program was justified on the basis of these stated intelligence requirements.
 3. The major program change was the addition of the recovery capsule to meet the mapping and the high resolution photographic requirement (5' ground object size) in the 1961-1962 time period. This program provides the only foreseeable means of fulfilling the high resolution photo capability requirement in that time period.
 4. ARPA validated the technical approach and the requirement by approving the January 1959 Development Plan. They were subsequently briefed on the technical aspects of the new work. Their recently stated position was to defer development on this portion because of lack of funds.
 5. During briefings to Hq USAF and ARPA in February, ARPA established the FY 60 funding level of 160 million for SENTRY. This was the fund level against which all the subsequent AF and AFPMO planning, programming and development action for FY 59 and FY 60 was based. The recent ARPA decision to reduce FY 60 funds by 25 million will cause major disturbances in the program. The possible deletion or at least deferment of work under accelerated development for the past 5 months will cause excessive costs to accrue to the SENTRY development program because of fluctuating decisions.
- C. Recommended Corrective Action -
1. Establish Air Force funding support of the recoverable capsule portion of SENTRY. (25 million for FY 60)

SECRET

Talk to York

~~TOP SECRET~~

Change & Wilson

2. Have AFPA present firm funding plans for SENTRY since the validity of Air Force program and development planning action is directly dependent upon a sensible long range stable plan.

II. SENTRY OPERATIONAL ANNEX:

A. Problem - Interim Intelligence Processing

B. Factors Bearing on Problem:

1. AFCEI's intent by November 1958 letter and 2 June 1959 letter from General Boushey is verbally interpreted for us by General Walsh and General Boushey as requiring an interim facility at Offutt for processing intelligence data during MD flights. This requires the following:

- a. 5.6 million additional funds FY 60.
- b. Rehabilitation of 10,000 square feet of Martin bomber plant at Offutt.
- c. Installation and check-out of interim hardware in Martin Plant at Offutt.
- d. Permanent crew of T/EM personnel at interim Offutt facility.

... to ...

Wittland

Not in Dev. Plan - Out of SAC personnel at Offutt during installation of hardware in interim facility.

Funds not available - Cannot proceed without funds - Cannot deliver -

f. Accomplishment of above by April 1960:

Recommendations: (The following has been proposed to General Walsh but to no avail.)

- 1. Lease space at T/EM occupied by MD simulation hardware. This establishes it as Air Force facility.
- 2. Provide a SAC detachment of 50th Recon. Technical Group at Denver T/EM.
- 3. Reconstitute film ferret tapes and critical data at Denver using MD hardware and SAC personnel.
- 4. Provide secure area for SAC detachment at Denver in leased facility.
- 5. SAC detachment forward reconstituted useable data to Offutt for further processing and distribution as intelligence information.

What? -
Prize -
...
Wittland
+
Name -

Returned 1745 to B. Wilson -

~~TOP SECRET~~

Understand ... but can't comply -
- plotted - will not deliver -
... T. ...

~~SECRET~~

4. 54 uninterrupted days are required for final installation and checkout and readiness for launch. Thus the first MIDAS launch has been delayed to 6 January 1960 - the second launch 24 February 1960.

5. Adherence to the 2 MIS - 2 MIDAS - 2 MIS - 2 MIDAS will delay the MIDAS Phase I completion to September 1960.

C. Recommended Corrective Action:

To conserve project funds, make effective use of MIDAS crews and equipment and effectively use Pad time, it is desirable to launch all four Phase I MIDAS vehicles consecutively. It is proposed that the 3rd and 4th launches be scheduled on 13 April and 1 June. This will permit the Mercury program exclusive use of Pad 14 after June 1960.

IV. MIDAS - Phase II:

A. Problem - Phase II approval and funding required immediately.

B. Facts bearing on the problem:

1. ARPA Order 38-59, Amendment No. 3, authorized the AFEMD to procure those long lead time items necessary to protect the Phase II schedule and provided funds.

2. AFEMD initiated procurement on boosters, Agena vehicles, and equipment as directed on an incrementally funded basis.

3. On 24 June, ARPA TWX 961412 stated the ARPA FY-60 budget for MIDAS was \$18 million, and assumed availability of \$28.9 million Air Force funds. The TWX limited the entire FY 60 MIDAS effort to \$46.9 million. Funds estimated for Phase I are \$18 million; funding for Phase I and II is \$60.1 million.

4. Procurement of Phase II long lead items must be terminated unless further guidance and/or Phase II funding and approval is received.

C. Recommended Corrective Action:

TWX's on 5 June and 30 June brought these facts to the attention of AFDC AF(AFDAT) and ARPA. These facts are contained in the briefing now under way. All efforts at all levels should be made to secure funding and approval.

V. MIDAS Phase III:

A. Problem - In order to fulfill the Air Force requirement stated in GCR 80-3 and 80-3A, and the availability of MIDAS in CY 1961, immediate approval and funding of Phase III is required.

~~SECRET~~

*Out of Phase II
Money *
Skipped
not during*

~~SECRET~~

Kingling

Ozzie

*1. Is
has III all
instructions
- As the
- useful
- in
- benchmarks
- 20 funds
- Is only
- required*

B. Facts bearing on the problem:

1. Procurement of GSE for the ATLAS/MIDAS GSE for the launches at Arguello must be initiated by mid September if the launch complex is available for the first launch of the operational net in July 1961.

2. The launcher construction must follow the following time schedule:

- (a) Complete siting with Navy - Immediately
- (b) Start construction - October 1959
- (c) BCD - December 1960
- (d) Installation and checkout completed - June 1961

3. The read out and relay station in the U.K. must follow a similar construction, installation and check out schedule to be in operation by October 1961. Read out and relay station in Alaska has been sited and is under construction. Equipment is budgeted in the FY 60 program.

4. BMD TNX to USAF of 2 June outlined these problems and requested immediate approval or appropriate charge in GOR 80-3A.

C. Recommended Corrective Action:

These facts have been brought to the attention of USAF by TNX and are contained in the series of briefings now underway. All efforts at all levels should be made to secure immediate approval and funding.

GENERAL SCHRIEVER —

This is essentially the WHOLE story. The ARPA has been presented our Dev. Plans and indicate their decision in a "week to 10 days". ARPA seemed sympathetic to the need for recovery but indicated they had to cut their budget. We asked why they took most of their cut out of such hi-priority subjects as SENTRY - NO ANSWER.

~~SECRET~~

